



Meeting Notes
For First

Bay Area Regional GIS Council
April 18, 2002, 1:30pm – 3:30pm
City of Berkeley, Permit Service Center

Note Taker: Phil Beilin, City of San Ramon

Welcome, by Lis Klute

STATE COUNCIL

Dean Angelides gave an overview of the California GIS Council, including its history and current status.

Mike Skowronek presented an overview of regional councils and the role for regional councils as developed by the CGC Regional Council Subcommittee.

REGIONAL COUNCIL

Lis Klute gave an introduction to the BAR-GC.

The following comments to the plan were incorporated into the charter:

Comments to Charter:

- p.1, Regional Charter, add provided by state consensus
 - take out words San Francisco, replace withfor the Bay Area....
- p.2 top of page start with a subtitle Regional Affiliations
- p.2 under BAR GIS Council Goals
- Consensus
 - Point 2 should read ... for success of the regional council formation
 - Point 3 should read- To be inclusive on the **BAR** council...
 - ADD, 4th point To facilitate outreach and to foster communication
- p.3, top of page, 1st sentence, .Services Coastal Region as the proposed projects after this have a sub-heading, Interested Parties (not incorporated, need more information)

There was consensus on the charter.



ASSIGNED TASKS

Joanna, Fenton, OES will draft a comment about GIS data sharing.

Mike Skowronek Will develop something in terms of a GIS definition for the Preface of the BAR GC Plan.

COMMENTS ON REPRESENTATION

City of Hayward, Sanford Groves, commented that the biggest risk to success of project is not providing stakeholders with benefits of involvement/participation. There is yet no clear definition of benefits to this group. What is return of investment to stakeholders? Comment that interoperability is an issue.

Discussion then moved to Issue of Representation:

It was stated that: state level representatives are related to policy and funding. Regional groups are a solution for pulling together local government.

Discussion of agency representation.

- Concern that it would be state top heavy
- Point that, Regional state agencies might have the same focus as the Sacramento state agencies
- A point was made that maybe there could be a few more Cities, where the County is not well represented.

A subgroup was formed to give recommendations about Representation

INPUT REQUESTED FROM GROUP

What projects should we focus on?

What agencies have resources to support project activities?

Asked for delivery of participant feedback by May 30th, 2002.

Next meeting will be in Berkeley following the BAAMA meeting. Location TBD.
June 20th, 2pm – 4pm (tentative time)

For Questions or comments please contact:

E.F. Klute

255 Glacier Drive

Martinez, CA 94553

925-313-2174 Phone

925-313-2333 Fax